



# Storm surge modelling in the Baltic Sea using the high resolution PM3D model

### Halina Kowalewska-Kalkowska<sup>1</sup>, Marek Kowalewski<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences, University of Szczecin, Szczecin, Poland, halina.kowalewska@usz.edu.pl,
<sup>2</sup>Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdańsk, Gdynia, Poland, ocemk@ug.edu.pl,
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Oceanology of Polish Academy of Sciences, Sopot, Poland, ocemk@iopan.gda.pl

- Parallel version of the 3D hydrodynamic model of the Baltic Sea (PM3D);
- Developed at Institute of Oceanography, UG, Poland;
- Based on the Princeton Ocean Model (Blumberg and Mellor, 1987);
- Adapted to the Baltic Sea by Kowalewski (1997);
- The operational version of the M3D model run in 1999;
- The operational version of the PM3D model run in 2015 within the SatBałtyk System;
- Two grids with different spatial resolution used: 1 NM (c. 1.8 km) for the Baltic Sea and the Skagerrak, and 0.5 NM (c. 0.9 km) for the southern part of the Baltic.







- □ At the open boundary located between the North Sea and the Skagerrak, radiation boundary condition for the vertically averaged flows with hourly sea level readings applied;
- Meteorological data (wind, atmospheric pressure, air temperature, and vapour pressure) supplied by the operational 4 km resolution grid Unified Model (UM), run by ICM, University of Warsaw (Hermanlżycki et al., 2002);
- Climatic monthly mean runoffs of more than 150 rivers discharging into the Baltic Sea involved (http://nest.su.se/bed/);
- □ The solar energy input derived from the diagnostic SolRad model which estimates the solar energy radiation flux using cloudiness determined from METEOSAT satellite data (Krężel et al., 2008);
- Assimilation of the satellite sea surface temperature data retrieved from the AVHRR and MODIS radiometers;
- As parallel calculations were used in the PM3D, the computation time for 1 day simulations in two domains, 1 NM and 0.5 NM, took 64 minutes for a computer equipped with 12-core Intel Xeon CPU X5670@2.93 GHz procesor;
- Outputs of the PM3D compared with hourly sea level readings collected at 9 tide gauges located along the Baltic coast in 2010-2015 as well as with simulations produced by the previous 3 NM grid resolution model version (M3D).
  2<sup>nd</sup> Baltic Earth Conference

Statistical measures of the model performance

Correlation coefficient (R):  
where 
$$s_{x'} s_y$$
 - standard deviations  
for observed and simulated values  
Root mean square error (RMSE):  

$$R_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{S_x S_y}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{y}_i)^2}}{N}$$

- ❑ Normalized root mean square error (NRMSE) computed by dividing RMSE by sea level range (Z)
- □ Central frequency (CF) illustrated how often the simulated water level differed from the readings by not more than ±0.15 m
- Positive outlier frequency (POF) showed how often it was higher than the observed level by more than 0.3 m
- Negative outlier frequency (NOF) demonstrated how often it was lower than the measured level by more than 0.3 m
- □ Simulations compared to sea level fluctuations recorded by tide gauges during the extreme positive and negative storm surges that occurred in 2010-2015.



#### Model Validation

	M3D	PM3D		
Station	3 NM	1_0.5 NM		
	Correlation coefficient			
Gdańsk	0.906	0.928		
Ustka	0.919	0.938		
Sassnitz	0.906	0.930		
Skanor	0.883	0.901		
Marviken	0.904	0.913		
Spikarna	0.920	0.936		
Kalix	0.933	0.943		
Kronstadt	0.917	0.934		
Daugavgriva	0.949	0.953		













	N	13D	PM3D 1_0.5 NM		
Station	3	NM			
	RMSE (m)	NRMSE (%)	RMSE (m)	NRMSE (%)	
Gdańsk	0.086	4.4	0.077	3.9	
Ustka	0.083	4.2	0.074	3.8	
Sassnitz	0.100	4.2	0.086	3.7	
Skanor	0.117	4.4	0.107	4.0	
Marviken	0.081	6.3	0.078	6.1	
Spikarna	0.085	4.6	0.079	4.2	
Kalix	0.112	4.8	0.112	4.8	
Kronstadt	0.133	5.5	0.129	5.3	
Daugavgriva	0.093	4.2	0.096	4.3	





	M3D			PM3D		
Station	3 NM			1_0.5 NM		
	CF	POF	NOF	CF	POF	NOF
Gdańsk	91.5	0.09	0.02	94.3	0.02	0.01
Ustka	92.2	0.13	0.00	95.2	0.00	0.02
Sassnitz	87.1	0.42	0.11	91.7	0.08	0.07
Skanor	81.1	1.02	0.27	84.6	0.52	0.24
Marviken	92.9	0.12	0.02	93.6	0.02	0.02
Spikarna	91.5	0.11	0,00	94.1	0.00	0.00
Kalix	82.5	0.69	0.49	82.5	0.49	0.54
Kronstadt	79.4	1.22	1.44	80.3	0.72	1.43
Daugavgriva	89.8	0.18	0.15	87.7	0.09	0.21



Extreme sea levels at the Baltic Sea coast in 2010-2015



	Minimum		Maximum		Sea level range
Station	Date	Level (m, msl)	Date	Level (m, msl)	(m)
Gdańsk	24 Nov 2014	-0.66	14 Jan 2012	1.31	1.97
Ustka	10 Dec 2014	-0.72	14 Jan 2012	1.25	1.97
Sassnitz	28 Jan 2010	-1.14	14 Jan 2012	1.22	2.36
Skanor	27 Jan 2010	-1.48	11 Feb 2011	1.19	2.67
Marviken	o Dec 2013 27 Jan 2010	-0.59	5 Jan 2012	0.70	1.29
Spikarna	23 Mar 2013	-0.66	9 Dec 2011	1.20	1.86
Kalix	15 Oct 2010	-1.00	14 Dec 2011	1.34	2.34
Kronstadt	24 Nov 2010	-1.08	16 Nov 2010	1.36	2.44
Daugavgriva	29 Jan 2014	-0.92	7 Dec 2015	1.32	2.24







4 – 7 January 2012







4 – 7 January 2012







4 – 7 January 2012







2<sup>nd</sup> Baltic Earth Conference The Baltic Sea Region in Transition Helsingør, Denmark, 11 to 15 June 2018 http://satbaltyk.iopan.gda.pl







12 – 15 January 2012







12 – 15 January 2012

UNIWERSYTET SZCZECIŃSKI WYDZIAŁ NAUK O ZIEMI

1.2 1.2 Skanor Marviken 0.8 Sea level (m) 0.8 Sea level (m) 0.4 0.4 0.0 -0.4 0.0 -0.8 -0.4 -1.2 12-01 13-01 14-01 15-01 16-01 12-01 13-01 14-01 15-01 16-01 —M3D 3NM OBS •PM3D 1\_0.5NM OBS -M3D 3NM PM3D 1\_0.5NM ٥ 1.2 0.8 Spikarna Sea level (m) 0.8 0.4 Sea level (m) 0.0 0.4 0.0 -0.4 Kalix -0.4 -0.8 12-01 13-01 14-01 15-01 16-01 15-01 12-01 13-01 14-01 16-01 -M3D 3NM PM3D 1\_0.5NM OBS -M3D 3NM •PM3D 1\_0.5NM





12 – 15 January 2012





2<sup>nd</sup> Baltic Earth Conference The Baltic Sea Region in Transition Helsingør, Denmark, 11 to 15 June 2018 http://satbaltyk.iopan.gda.pl





Sea level changes



6 – 9 February 2015









#### 6 – 9 February 2015







6 – 9 February 2015









- ❑ The 1 NM numerical grid used for the region covering the entire Baltic Sea and the Skagerrak as well as the 0.5 NM resolution applied to the southern Baltic in the PM3D resulted in a better description of the coastline and the area's bathymetry. As a result, the model quality improved, as indicated by the lowest variability, the highest correlation and the highest percentage of water level simulations within ±0.15 m difference from the readings. The most significant advance in the PM3D performance was achieved for the southern Baltic coast.
- Evaluation of the PM3D performance during the Baltic storm surges that occurred in 2010-2015 showed a good representation of events characterized by rapid water level fluctuations. The model generated relatively good simulations, properly predicting the timing and extent of extreme values with errors usually not exceeding 0.15 m.
- ❑ As the PM3D involves parallel calculations, the computation time of simulations was reduced significantly, thus allowing to apply the high-resolution grid to the operational version of the model.
- A quick website access to daily-updated 72-h forecasts of hydrodynamic conditions within the SatBałtyk System (http://satbaltyk.iopan.gda.pl) provides potential users with an opportunity of predicting the magnitude and duration of storm surges. Therefore, the PM3D may prove of assistance in flood risk management in the coastal areas of the Baltic Sea.



#### Literature

Blumberg A. F., Mellor G. L., 1987, A description of a three-dimensional coastal ocean circulation model, In: Heaps N. S. (ed.), Three-dimensional coastal ocean models, Am. Geophys. Union., 1-16.

Gästgifvars M., Müller-Navarra S., Funkquist L., Huess V., 2008, Performance of operational systems with respect to water level forecasts in the Gulf of Finland, Ocean Dynam. 58, 139-153.

Herman-lżycki L., Jakubiak B., Nowiński K., Niezgódka B., 2002, UMPL - numerical weather prediction system for operational applications, In: Jakubiak B. (ed.), Research works based on the ICM's UMPL numerical weather prediction system results, Wyd. ICM, Warszawa, 14-27.

Kowalewski M., 1997, A three-dimensional hydrodynamic model of the Gulf of Gdańsk, Oceanol. Stud., 26, 4, 77–98.

Kowalewski M., Kowalewska-Kalkowska H., 2017, Sensitivity of the Baltic Sea level prediction to spatial model resolution , J. Marine Syst., 173, 101-113, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2017.05.001.

Krężel A., Kozłowski Ł., Paszkuta M., 2008, A simple model of light transmission through the atmosphere over the Baltic Sea utilising satellite data, Oceanologia 50 (2), 125-146.

Myrberg K., Ryabchenko V., Isaev A., Vankevich R., Andrejev O., Bendtsen J., Erichsen A., Funkquist L., Inkala A., Neelov I., Rasmus K., Medina M.R., Raudsepp U., Passenko J., Soderkvist J., Sokolov A., Kuosa H., Anderson T.R., Lehmann A., Skogen M.D., 2010, Validation of three-dimensional hydrodynamic models of the Gulf of Finland, Boreal Env. Res. 15, 453-479.





## Storm surge modelling in the Baltic Sea using the high resolution PM3D model

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out within the framework of the SatBałtyk project funded by the European Union through European Regional Development Fund (contract No. POIG.01.01.02-22-011/09) titled 'The Satellite Monitoring of the Baltic Sea Environment'. A part of this work was supported through the statutory funds of the Faculty of Geosciences, University of Szczecin, Poland.

Water level data series were available through the Baltic Operational Oceanographic System (BOOS, www.boos.org).

Monthly mean runoffs based on data from Baltic Environment Database of the Nest Institute (http://nest.su.se/bed/).

Surface pressure charts: <sup>®</sup>British Crown copyright 2012, 2015, the Met Office

2<sup>nd</sup> Baltic Earth Conference The Baltic Sea Region in Transition Helsingør, Denmark, 11 to 15 June 2018

**k vou for vour**